UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,260	06/15/2004	Paul Beardow	6257-32303	9345
	7590 10/27/200 , HOOD, KIVLIN, KO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 398		AMIN, JWALANT B		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2628		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent\_docketing@intprop.com ptomhkkg@gmail.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,260	BEARDOW, PAUL	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	OVVICE THAT I THAT	2020			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee jinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered because			
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further $lpha$	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•				
<ul><li>(c) M They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>139-147,157-174 and 184-210</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.			
<ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li></ul>	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:	(FTO/30/00) Paper NO(S).				
/Kee M Tung/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 139, 140, 142-144, 147, 157-160, 163, 166-169, 171,174, 184, 185, 189-191, 197, 198, 200-203,205, and 206 has not been entered. Regarding claim 139, adding the limitation "wherein the at least one animation property relates to movement of the at least one part image along a trajectory" raises new issues by changing the scope of the claim, which requires further consideration and/or search. Similarly, amendment to other independent claims changes the scope of those claims requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are not persuasive because applicant relies on limitations in the proposed amendment which has not been entered (see note for item 3 above).